Scalar QED Action Density and Schwinger Pair Production in (A)dS$_2$

Sang Pyo Kim[a,b,*]

[a] *Department of Physics, Kunsan National University, Kunsan 573-701, Korea*
[b] *Center for Relativistic Laser Science, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Gwangju 500-712, Korea*
[*] *E-mail: sangkim@kunsan.ac.kr*

arXiv:1512.03142v1 [hep-th] 10 Dec 2015

We review the one-loop effective action in scalar QED and the Schwinger effect in a uniform electric field in a two-dimensional (anti-) de Sitter space. The Schwinger effect has a thermal interpretation in terms of the effective temperature introduced by Cai and Kim. We propose a method to find the density of states for the charged scalar and obtain the QED action density and the pair-production rate in the in-out formalism.

Keywords: Schwinger Effect; QED Effective Action; (Anti-) de Sitter space; Density of States.

1. Introduction

The de Sitter (dS) and anti-de Sitter (AdS) space has a constant curvature with the maximal symmetry of a given dimension. The (A)dS has thus attracted attention in understanding a quantum nature of spacetime and been applied to different physics. The present accelerating universe with a cosmological constant is an asymptotically pure dS space and the early inflationary universe also underwent a quasi-exponential expansion. The pure dS space has a cosmological horizon and emits the dS radiation with the Gibbons-Hawking temperature.[1] The Einstein equation for the dS space can be explained by the black hole thermodynamics.[2] An intriguing feature of the dS radiation is the solitonic nature in the global coordinates in any odd-dimensional dS space.[3] The discrimination of dimensions for dS radiation can be explained by the Stokes phenomenon, in which a pair of instanton actions interferes destructively in odd dimensions and constructively in even dimensions.[4,5] The Stokes phenomenon can also be explained by the coherent destructive or constructive interference of the superadiabatic particle number.[6]

The one-loop effective action in an electromagnetic field and in a curved spacetime reveals the interplay between quantum electrodynamics (QED) and quantum nature of curved spacetime. A strong electromagnetic field changes the quantum vacuum structure,[7,8] and an electric field with/without a parallel magnetic field pulls out virtual particles from the Dirac sea and creates pairs of particles and antiparticles known as the Schwinger effect.[8] The Schwinger effect in (A)dS space entails the pair production by the electric field and the dS radiation[9–11] or the suppression by the negative curvature of AdS space.[10,12] The one-loop effective action and the Schwinger effect has been comprehensively studied in Ref. 13. The s-wave of a scalar field in the Nariari geometry of a rotating dS black hole is equivalent to a charge in a uniform electric field in dS$_2$.[14,15] Interestingly, the near-horizon geometry AdS$_2 \times S^{d-1}$ of an extremal or near-extremal black hole deduces that

the emission of charges from the black hole is related to the Schwinger effect in AdS_2.[16–21] The fermionic current due to the Schwinger effect[22–24] and the holographic Schwinger effect[25] have been studied in the dS space.

The production of particles can be explained by the (effective) temperature. The Hawking radiation has the Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac distribution with the Hawking temperature and the dS radiation has a distribution, whose leading Boltzmann factor can be given by the Gibbons-Hawking temperature. The Schwinger effect in a constant or pulsed electric field has an effective temperature given by the inverse of the period of the instanton action in the Euclidean time.[26] Narnhofer, Peter and Thirring have shown that the effective temperature for an accelerating observer in dS space is the geometric mean of the Unruh temperature and the Gibbons-Hawking temperature,[27] which is extended to the AdS space by Deser and Levin,[28] as shown in Fig. 1. Recently, Cai and Kim have shown that the Schwinger effect in (A)dS space also has a thermal interpretation in terms of an effective temperature for the Unruh effect for accelerating charge by the electric field and the curvature effect,[13] as summarized in Fig. 1. This implies that the Schwinger effect from an extremal black hole, in which the Hawking radiation is suppressed due to the zero temperature, may also have such a thermal interpretation and similarly for a near-extremal black hole.[18–20]


Effective Temperature
Unruh effect in (A)dS
$T_{NPT} = \sqrt{T_U^2 + \frac{R}{2(2\pi)^2}}$
$T_U = \frac{a}{2\pi}$ $R = \begin{cases} 2H^2 \\ -2K^2 \end{cases}$
Schwinger effect in (A)dS
$T_{CK} = T_U + \sqrt{T_U^2 + \frac{R}{2(2\pi)^2}}$
$T_U = \frac{qE/\bar{m}}{2\pi}$

Fig. 1. The effective temperature of an accelerating observer in an (A)dS[27,28] and a charged scalar in a constant electric field in an (A)dS.[13]

In this paper we elaborate the one-loop effective action of scalar QED in Ref. 13 and also advance a method to find the density of states for the one-loop action and the Schwinger effect. In particular, the density of states is important to obtain the one-loop QED action density from the integrated one-loop action in the in-out formalism and the rate of pair production from the mean number by the Bogoliubov transformation. The one-loop effective action is equivalent to all the one-loop

diagrams with even number of photons and gravitons, as shown in Fig. 2, whose summation is beyond the computational practicality. However, in the in-out formalism by Schwinger and DeWitt, the one-loop action is derived from the scattering amplitude between the in- and out-vacua [29]

$$e^{i\mathcal{W}} = e^{i\int(-g)^{1/2}d^2x\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}} = \langle 0, {\rm out}|0, {\rm in}\rangle. \tag{1}$$

In fact, the propagator or Green function of the charge in the electric field and in the (A)dS space gives the scattering amplitude.



Fig. 2. One-loop Feynman diagrams: the wavy lines denote photons from the background electromagnetic field and the dashed lines denote gravitons from the curved spacetime.

2. In- and Out-Vacuum Solutions and Bogoliubov Coefficients in (A)dS$_2$

We study the one-loop effective action of a scalar with mass m and charge q in a uniform electric field in the planar coordinates of dS$_2$ space

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{2Ht}dx^2, \quad A_1(t) = -(E/H)(e^{Ht} - 1), \tag{2}$$

and in AdS$_2$ space

$$ds^2 = -e^{2Kx}dt^2 + dx^2, \quad A_0(t) = -(E/K)(e^{Kx} - 1). \tag{3}$$

The one-loop action differs from quantum electrodynamic interaction by summing Feynman diagrams. The gauge potentials are chosen to have the Minkowski space limit ($H = 0$ or $K = 0$) and the scalar curvature is $\mathcal{R} = 2H^2(-2K^2)$. Then the momentum mode $\phi_k = e^{-Ht/2}\varphi_k$ in dS$_2$ has the equation

$$\ddot{\varphi}_k(t) + H^2\Big(\gamma_{dS}^2 + \bar{k}^2e^{-2Ht} + 2\frac{qE}{H^2}\bar{k}e^{-Ht}\Big)\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where the dimensionless parameters are

$$\gamma_{dS}^2 = \Big(\frac{qE}{H^2}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{m}{H}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \bar{k}(k) = \frac{k}{H} - \frac{qE}{H^2}. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, the energy mode $\phi_\omega = e^{-Kx/2}\varphi_\omega$ in the AdS_2 space is given by the equation

$$\varphi_\omega''(x) + K^2\Big(\gamma_{AdS}^2 + \bar{\omega}^2 e^{-2Kx} - 2\frac{qE}{K^2}\bar{\omega}e^{-Kx}\Big)(x)\varphi_\omega(x) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where another set of dimensionless parameters

$$\gamma_{AdS}^2 = \Big(\frac{qE}{K^2}\Big)^2 - \Big(\frac{m}{K}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \bar{\omega}(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{K} - \frac{qE}{K^2}. \tag{7}$$

In the dS_2 space, the positive and negative solutions for the in-vacuum ($t = -\infty$) are given by the Whittaker function as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{in},k}^{(+)}(t) &= \frac{e^{\pi\bar{k}_0/2}}{\sqrt{2H\bar{k}}} W_{-i\bar{k}_0, i\gamma_{dS}}(2e^{-i\pi/2}\bar{k}e^{-Ht}), \\
\phi_{\mathrm{in},k}^{(-)}(t) &= \frac{e^{\pi\bar{k}_0/2}}{\sqrt{2H\bar{k}}} W_{i\bar{k}_0, i\gamma_{dS}}(2e^{i\pi/2}\bar{k}e^{-Ht}),
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with $\bar{k}_0 = \bar{k}(k=0)$ and those for the out-vacuum ($t = \infty$) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{out},k}^{(+)}(t) &= \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}e^{\pi\gamma_{dS}/2}}{\sqrt{4H\bar{k}\gamma_{dS}}} M_{i\bar{k}_0, i\gamma_{dS}}(2e^{i\pi/2}\bar{k}e^{-Ht}), \\
\phi_{\mathrm{out},k}^{(-)}(t) &= \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}e^{-\pi\gamma_{dS}/2}}{\sqrt{4H\bar{k}\gamma_{dS}}} M_{i\bar{k}_0, -i\gamma_{dS}}(2e^{i\pi/2}\bar{k}e^{-Ht}).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The solutions (8) and (9) are chosen to satisfy the quantization rule $e^{Ht}\mathrm{Wr}_{(t)}[\phi_k^{(+)}(t), \phi_k^{(-)}(t)] = i$. Similarly, the solutions for the in-vacuum ($x = -\infty$) for the tunneling boundary condition are

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{in},\omega}^{(+)}(x) &= \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}e^{\pi\bar{\omega}_0/2}}{\sqrt{2K\bar{\omega}}} W_{-i\bar{\omega}_0, -i\gamma_{AdS}}(2e^{i\pi/2}\bar{\omega}e^{-Kx}), \\
\phi_{\mathrm{in},\omega}^{(-)}(x) &= \frac{e^{-i\pi/2}e^{-\pi\bar{\omega}_0/2}}{\sqrt{2K\bar{\omega}}} W_{i\bar{\omega}_0, -i\gamma_{AdS}}(2e^{-i\pi/2}\bar{\omega}e^{-Kx}),
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with $\bar{\omega}_0 = \bar{\omega}(\omega = 0)$ and those for the out-vacuum ($x = \infty$) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{out},\omega}^{(+)}(x) &= \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}e^{-\pi\gamma_{AdS}/2}}{\sqrt{4K\bar{\omega}\gamma_{AdS}}} M_{-i\bar{\omega}_0, -i\gamma_{AdS}}(2e^{i\pi/2}\bar{\omega}e^{-Kx}), \\
\phi_{\mathrm{in},\omega}^{(-)}(x) &= \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}e^{\pi\gamma_{AdS}/2}}{\sqrt{4K\bar{\omega}\gamma_{AdS}}} M_{-i\bar{\omega}_0, i\gamma_{AdS}}(2e^{i\pi/2}\bar{\omega}e^{-Kx}).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The solutions (10) and (11) are chosen to satisfy the quantization rule $e^{Kx}\mathrm{Wr}_{(x)}[\phi_\omega^{(-)}(x), \phi_\omega^{(+)}(x)] = i$ for the tunneling boundary condition.[30]

3. Thermal Interpretation of Schwinger Effect in (A)dS$_2$

Using (8) and (9), we recalculate the Bogoliubov coefficients in the dS$_2$ space[13]

$$\alpha_k = \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2\gamma_{dS}}} e^{\pi(\gamma_{dS}+\bar{k}_0)/2} \frac{\Gamma(1+2i\gamma_{dS})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma_{dS}-i\bar{k}_0)},$$
$$\beta_k = \frac{e^{3i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2\gamma_{dS}}} e^{\pi(\bar{k}_0-\gamma_{dS})/2} \frac{\Gamma(1+2i\gamma_{dS})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma_{dS}+i\bar{k}_0)}, \tag{12}$$

and from Eqs. (10) and (11), we find the Bogoliubov coefficients in AdS$_2$ space

$$\alpha_\omega = e^{\pi\gamma_{AdS}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-i\gamma_{AdS}+i\bar{\omega}_0)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-i\gamma_{AdS}-i\bar{\omega}_0)},$$
$$\beta_\omega = e^{-i\pi/4} e^{\pi(\gamma_{AdS}+\bar{\omega}_0)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-i\gamma_{AdS}+i\bar{\omega}_0)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-2i\gamma_{AdS})}. \tag{13}$$

Hence, the mean numbers of pairs by the Schwinger effect are

$$N_{\rm dS} = |\beta_k|^2 = \frac{e^{-2\pi(\gamma_{dS}+\bar{k}_0)} + e^{-4\pi\gamma_{dS}}}{1+e^{-4\pi\gamma_{dS}}}, \tag{14}$$

$$N_{\rm AdS} = |\beta_\omega|^2 = \frac{e^{2\pi(\gamma_{AdS}+\bar{\omega}_0)} + e^{-2\pi(\gamma_{AdS}-\bar{\omega}_0)}}{1+e^{-2\pi(\gamma_{AdS}-\bar{\omega}_0)}}. \tag{15}$$

The binding nature of AdS$_2$ provides an effective mass $\bar{m} = m\sqrt{1+(K/2m)^2}$ and thus increases the critical strength $E_{\rm C} = \bar{m}^2/q$ while the separating nature of dS$_2$ gives $\bar{m} = m\sqrt{1-(H/2m)^2}$ and thus lowers the critical strength. Note the Breitenlohler-Freedman bound $\gamma^2_{\rm AdS} < 0$ for the stability of AdS against Schwinger emission.

Recently, Cai and Kim have proposed a thermal interpretation of the Schwinger effect in the (A)dS$_2$ space. Note that the mean number for the dS space is determined by the actions[13]

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm dS} = 2\pi\big(\gamma_{\rm dS}+\bar{k}_0\big) = \frac{\bar{m}}{T_{\rm dS}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\rm dS} = 4\pi\gamma_{\rm dS} = \frac{\bar{m}}{\bar{T}_{\rm dS}}. \tag{16}$$

Here the effective temperature and the associated temperature are

$$T_{\rm dS} = T_{\rm U} + \sqrt{T_{\rm U}^2+T_{\rm GH}^2}, \quad \bar{T}_{\rm dS} = \frac{T_{\rm GH}^2}{2\sqrt{T_{\rm U}^2+T_{\rm GH}^2}} \tag{17}$$

where the Gibbons-Hawking temperature and the Unruh temperature for the accelerating charge by the electric field are, respectively,

$$T_{\rm GH} = \frac{H}{2\pi}, \quad T_{\rm U} = \frac{qE/\bar{m}}{2\pi}. \tag{18}$$

On the other hand, the mean number for the AdS space is determined by the actions[13]

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm AdS} = 2\pi\big(\gamma_{\rm AdS}+\bar{\omega}_0\big) = \frac{\bar{m}}{T_{\rm AdS}},$$
$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\rm dS} = 2\pi\big(\gamma_{\rm AdS}-\bar{\omega}_0\big) = \frac{\bar{m}}{\bar{T}_{\rm AdS}}, \tag{19}$$

where

$$T_{\rm AdS} = T_{\rm U} + \sqrt{T_{\rm U}^2 - \Big(\frac{K}{2\pi}\Big)^2}, \quad \bar{T}_{\rm AdS} = T_{\rm U} - \sqrt{T_{\rm U}^2 - \Big(\frac{K}{2\pi}\Big)^2}. \tag{20}$$

In the Minkowski limit ($H = K = 0$), the mean number reduces to $N_{\rm Min} = e^{-m/2T_{\rm U}}$, as expected. The factor of two is intrinsic nature of the Schwinger effect.[26]

4. Density of States

The density of states is necessary to derive the QED action density and the pair-production rate in the in-out formalism. The energy-momentum integral of the off-shell mean number gives the density of states, $qE/(2\pi)$, for a uniform electric field in the Minkowski spacetime.[31] In the case of a uniform magnetic field, the wave packet of Landau states gives the density of states, $qB/(2\pi)$.

We now propose another method to find the density of states in the in-out formalism. For that purpose, we note that the field has the Fourier integral

$$\phi_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t,x) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \phi_{{\rm in},k}^{(+)}(t). \tag{21}$$

Using the asymptotic form $M_{\mu,\nu}(z) = e^{-z/2} z^{1/2+\nu}$ and changing of variable $dk = Hd\bar{k}$, we rewrite Eq. (21) as

$$\phi_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t,x) = H \int \frac{d\bar{k}}{2\pi} e^{\theta(\bar{k})(t)} f_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t), \tag{22}$$

where $f_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t)$ is a function independent of $\bar{k}$, and

$$\theta(\bar{k})(t) = -i\bar{k}e^{-Ht} + i\gamma_{dS} \ln(\bar{k}). \tag{23}$$

The Gaussian integral from the saddle point method gives

$$\phi_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t,x) = \frac{H\sqrt{\gamma_{dS}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t). \tag{24}$$

In the tunneling picture, the decay of the vacuum due to the Schwinger effect is determined by the magnitude square of the field

$$|\phi_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t,x)|^2 = \frac{H^2\gamma_{dS}}{2\pi} |f_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t)|^2, \tag{25}$$

and the momentum independent part $|f_{\rm in}^{(+)}(t)|^2$ determines the mean number of created pairs.

Therefore, the density of states is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\rm dS} = \frac{H^2\gamma_{\rm dS}}{2\pi}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\rm AdS} = \frac{K^2\gamma_{\rm AdS}}{2\pi}. \tag{26}$$

In the Minkowski spacetime ($H = K = 0$) the density of states becomes $\mathcal{D} = qE/(2\pi)$. Finally, we obtain the pair-production rate per unit two-volume

$$\frac{dN_{\rm dS}}{dtdx} = \frac{H^2\gamma_{\rm dS}}{2\pi} |\beta_k|^2, \quad \frac{dN_{\rm AdS}}{dtdx} = \frac{K^2\gamma_{\rm AdS}}{2\pi} |\beta_\omega|^2. \tag{27}$$

The density of state has been proposed for spinor QED in the dS space.[32]

5. QED Action Density in (A)dS$_2$

From the integrated one-loop action (1) follows the QED action density, which is given by the Bogoliubov coefficient, which is in turn expressed by gamma functions with complex arguments

$$\mathcal{L} = i\mathcal{D}\ln(\alpha^*) = i\mathcal{D}\sum_l \ln\Gamma(a_l - ib_l). \tag{28}$$

To obtain the QED action density, one uses the gamma function in the proper-time integral

$$\ln(\Gamma(a_l - ib_l)) = \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s}\Big[\frac{e^{-(a_l-ib_l)s}}{1-e^{-s}} - \cdots\Big], \tag{29}$$

and then applies the Schwinger subtraction scheme to regulate divergent terms via the renormalization of the vacuum energy and charge.[33] Remarkably, the consistence relation, $2\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{W} = \ln(1+|\beta|^2)$, between the imaginary part of the effective action and the pair-production rate, is the consequence of the Cauchy's residue theorem applied to the gamma function (29). Finally, we find the QED action density in the (A)dS$_2$ space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\rm dS} &= \frac{H^2\gamma_{\rm dS}}{2(2\pi)}\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s}\Big[e^{-(\gamma_{\rm dS}+\bar{k}_0)s}\Big(\frac{1}{\sin(s/2)} - \frac{2}{s} - \frac{s}{12}\Big)\\ &\quad -e^{-2\gamma_{\rm dS}s}\Big(\frac{\cos(s/2)}{\sin(s/2)} - \frac{2}{s} + \frac{s}{6}\Big)\Big],\\ \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\rm AdS} &= \frac{K^2\gamma_{\rm AdS}}{2(2\pi)}\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s}e^{-\gamma_{\rm AdS}s}\cosh(\bar{\omega}_0 s)\Big(\frac{1}{\sin(s/2)} - \frac{2}{s} - \frac{s}{12}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

6. Conclusion

The scalar QED action and Schwinger effect has been recalculated in the (A)dS$_2$ space. A new method has been advanced to find the density of states and to obtain the QED action density and the Schwinger pair-production rate in the (A)dS$_2$ space, which is the main result.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Christian Schubert for useful discussion on the worldline instantons in de Sitter space and Won Kim for drawing the figure. This work was supported by IBS (Institute for Basic Science) under IBS-R012-D1.

References

1. G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2738 (1977).
2. R-G. Cai and S. P. Kim, *JHEP* **02**, 050 (2005).
3. A. M. Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **797**, 199 (2008).

4. S. P. Kim, *JHEP* **09**, 054 (2010).
5. S. P. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 044027 (2013).
6. R. Dabrowski and G. V. Dunne, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 025021 (2014).
7. W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, *Z. Phys.* **98**, 714 (1936).
8. J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 664 (1951).
9. J. Garriga, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 6343 (1994).
10. S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 103517 (2008).
11. S. P. Kim, *Grav. Cosmol.* **20**, 193 (2014).
12. B. Pioline and J. Troost, *JHEP* **03**, 043 (2005).
13. R-G. Cai and S. P. Kim, *JHEP* **09**, 072 (2014).
14. D. Anninos and T. Hartman, *JHEP* **03**, 096 (2010).
15. D. Anninos and T. Anous, *JHEP* **08**, 131 (2010).
16. C.-M. Chen, S. P. Kim, I.-C. Lin, J.-R. Sun and M.-F. Wu, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 124041 (2012).
17. C.-M. Chen, J.-R. Sun, F-Y. Tang and P-Y. Tsai, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **32**, 195003 (2015).
18. S. P. Kim, H. K. Lee, and Y. Yoon, Thermal Interpretation of Schwinger Effect in Near-Extremal Reissner-Nordström Black Hole, arXiv:1503.00218 (2015).
19. S. P. Kim, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30**, 1545017 (2015).
20. S. P. Kim, Schwinger Effect in (A)dS and Charged Black Hole, arXiv:1509.05532 (2015).
21. C-M. Chen, J-R. Sun and F-Y. Tang, Pair Production In Near Extremal Charged Black Holes, arXiv:1510.00141 (2015).
22. M. B. Fröb, J. Garriga, S. Kanno, M. Sasaki, J. Soda, T. Tanaka and A. Vilenkin, *JCAP* **04**, 009 (2014).
23. C. Stahl and E. Strobel, Semiclassical fermion pair creation in de Sitter spacetime, arXiv:1507.01401 (2015).
24. C. Stahl, E. Strobel and S-S. Xue, Fermionic current and Schwinger effect in de Sitter spacetime, arXiv:1507.01686 (2015).
25. W. Fischler, P. H. Nguyen, J. F. Pedraza and W. Tangarife, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 086015 (2015).
26. W-Y. Pauchy Hwang and S. P. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 065004 (2009).
27. H. Narnhofer, I. Peter and W. Thirring, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **10**, 1507 (1996).
28. S. Deser and O. Levin, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** (1997) L163.
29. B. S. DeWitt, *The Global Approach to Quantum Field Theory* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
30. S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 065020 (2006).
31. S. P. Kim, H. K.Lee, and Y. Yoon, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1**, 303 (2011).
32. S. Haouat and R. Chekireb, *Effect of the electric field on the creation of fermions in de-Sitter space-time*, arXiv:1504.08201 (2015).
33. S. P. Kim, H. K.Lee, and Y. Yoon, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 105013 (2008); *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 025015 (2010).